

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/749,556	01/02/2004	Emily Lo	LOEM3001/EM	8773
23364 759	90 07/11/2005		EXAMINER	
BACON & TH	OMAS, PLLC		FRANCIS	S, FAYE
625 SLATERS 1 FOURTH FLOO	: <u>_</u>		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA			3725	
			DATE MAIL ED: 07/11/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		57	1
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/749,556	LO, EMILY	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Faye Francis	3725	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
Period for Reply		AONTHAN FROM	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a unit of the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory perion for reply within the set or extended period for reply w	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		•	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	•	·	
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the applic	cation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		,
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.		
10) $oxed{oxed}$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 January 2004</u> is/a	re: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ o	objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	***		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	•		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form P1O-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have beer eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 	(98) 5) Notice of	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	·	

Application/Control Number: 10/749,556 Page 2

Art Unit: 3725

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the 21 cutting edges in claim 2 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,556

Art Unit: 3725

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2 is indefinite because it is not clear what the phrase "11 to 21 cutting edges are **preferably** defined" is intended to encompass.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's admitted Prior Art in page 1 lines 12-25 of the specification, hereinafter Prior Art in view of the Goldhammer [4,625,925].

Prior Art discloses in Fig 1 most the element of the claims including a pair of cylindrical rotary cutters 1 and 1', which are configured to rotate in opposite directions to each other, each cylindrical rotary cutter includes a polygonal shaft 2 and a plurality of cutting blades 4 that are mounted on the shaft 4, a spacer 6 is configured between each pair of adjacent cutting blades 4 partitioning the cutting blades 4 there between, and

Application/Control Number: 10/749,556

Art Unit: 3725

thereby allowing the cutting blades 4 to be assembled and mounted in an interleaving fashion on the two cylindrical rotary cutters 1 and 1'.

Prior Art but may not disclose that the plurality of cutting edges are circumferentially arranged and defined on a periphery of each of the pair of blades of the cutting blades, thereby forming a compact assembly of blade edges, and realizing minimum distance between mutually adjacent cutting edges; tens of sheets of paper can be fed in between the cutting blades at one time.

Goldhammer is cited to show desirability, in the relevant art to have a cutting arrangement wherein the plurality of cutting edges [teeth 16] are circumferentially arranged and defined on a periphery of each of the pair of blades of the cutting blades [col 4 lines 35-54], thereby forming a compact assembly of blade edges, and realizing minimum distance between mutually adjacent cutting edges; tens of sheets of paper can be fed in between the cutting blades at one time [col 6 lines 10-11]. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the blades in the Prior Art paper shredder to have the particular cutting arrangement as taught by Goldhammer in order to comminutes the material to be comminuted with low energy requirement but into particles of relatively small size.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Faye Francis whose telephone number is 571-272-4423. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-3:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,556 Page 5

Art Unit: 3725

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on 571-272-4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FF

Fave Francis